

**TOWN OF GUILFORD**  
**RFQ #2-1819**  
**STATE PROJECT #59-TBD**  
**ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FOR**  
**PEDESTRIAN SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT**  
**FEBRUARY 12, 2019**  
**ADDENDUM #1**

1. **Inquiry: A potential bidder asked:** “Which program will this project be funded under?”

**Response:** This is considered a Transportation Alternatives project with Federal Funding involved.

2. **Inquiry: A potential bidder asked:** “Are Concept Plans, funding applications, and/or preliminary reports available?”

**Response:** They are for the purpose of getting the funding allocated to the project by the State. A concept plan was submitted with the funding plan, but the Town doesn't want to share that document at this time in the process in order to prevent limiting ideas on how the project objectives can be achieved. The Town prefers to hear from potential consultants on how to best achieve the goals of the project.

3. **Inquiry: A potential bidder asked:** “Are sidewalks planned for both sides of the street?”

**Response:** The Town does not believe that there is enough room under the bridge for sidewalks on both sides in that section and that it's not necessary south of I-95. It may make sense north of I-95 in order to make connections to all locations with safe crossing at interchange intersections and to avoid mid-block crossings north of I-95.

4. **Inquiry: A potential bidder asked:** “Will crosswalks be installed at school and/or at tennis center/art center to access both sides? If so, will signals be required for pedestrian crossings?”

**Response:** See answer to #3. Signal design to add pedestrian phase south of I-95 will be necessary and a signal may be warranted to be added north of I-95 at the interchange as well to include a pedestrian phase. Traffic study and signal design will be important aspects of this project.

5. **Inquiry: A potential bidder asked:** “Under bridge clearance is tight, room for maybe one sidewalk, will State entertain narrower lane widths?”

**Response:** The concept included narrower lane widths and typically they are allowed for dedicated turn lanes especially. Drainage will need to be formalized due to current road drainage under/adjacent to the bridge is by leak-offs.

**6. Inquiry: A potential bidder asked:** “Any streetscape opportunities?”

**Response:** Primarily only if trees or landscaping get impacted then the Town would want to replace. The road is a scenic highway and that needs to be considered in design choices and layout.

Issued by:  
Nicole Dankowski  
dankowskin@ci.guilford.ct.us  
Administrative Assistant  
Purchasing Department  
Town of Guilford  
203-453-8015